A 1884
2.

File No. 162
1884.

Collection No.

File No 162 of 1884

~~Mysore Residency~~

Pros. Serial Nos.

(Pages 1 – 27)

Subject.

Boundaries of the Civil & Station of Bangalore

| Previous References. | Later References. |
|---|---|
| | |

S. 87. (Blue.)

[illegible]—PP—72500F—S&P—(G.1572)—14-3-28—60,000.

13 81/1881-82

1881 2682

From The Superintendent Mysore

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated Bangalore
Despatched 12th February 1881
Received 14th —"—

ENCLOSURES NO 2.

General No. 109 } 1881
No.

Forwards Memorandum and Map showing Suggestions regarding Contonment Limits. —

I have &c

Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Surv.

Memorandum drawn up by the undersigned, in compliance with the requisition of the Local Government, containing suggestions for defining "de novo" the limits of the Cantonment of Bangalore.

2 The Map which accompanies, and illustrates, the present Memo=randum is that which is alluded to in a Memorandum of proceedings at a Conference held at Bangalore on the 21st January 1870 at which were present the Officers noted in the margin.

1 The Chief Commissioner of Mysore.
2 The Survey & Settlement Commissioner of Mysore.
3 The President of the Municipal Board.
4 The Superintendent of Survey.

The Memorandum in question is given at length in Survey Super=intendent's letter No. 580 of 1871 on the Settlement of the Bangalore Taluk.

3rd Further recapitulation, before recording the result of the necessary inspection of lands and boundaries made by the undersigned on the 31st of January 1881 and on the 2nd and 5th Instant, seems uncalled for. It is immaterial to enquire whether all the arrangements laid down in the Memorandum of the 21st January 1870 have been strictly carried out, excepting in so far as their observance may affect present suggestions, in which case due notice will be taken in the proper place. It will only be necessary to bear in mind, when consulting the Map now submitted, with reference to present suggestions, that it represents neither more, nor less, than the limits actually adopted at the Conference of the 21st January

January 1870, and that it contains the old Cantonment proper, so to speak, as well as 14 Government and 6 Inam villages added to it. The old Cantonment has its own serial Survey Numbers shown on the Map and so has each of the Government villages, but the Inam villages, not having been surveyed in detail, appear only "en bloc"

4 With this preamble the suggestions of the Committee may now be reduced to definite shape.

5 Commencing at point A on the right hand upper corner of Sheet 1 and proceeding Westward, the proposed line, shown on the Map in red, includes the Inam village of Dodkunti —

(the

(the remaining portion of Dod=
=kunti is in Sheet No. 2 and,
it will be seen later on, has
also been included within the
proposed Cantonment limits)
the boundary of which at point
B meets the lands of the old
Cantonment. The object of
fixing the line from A to B
is to secure the water-shed
of the up lands.

6 From point B the line is
drawn to include the Tanneries
as far as point C where the
boundary of the Inam village
of Byadarhalli is met. Survey
No. 672 of the old Cantonment
must be reserved, although
in an isolated position, it
being the Municipal filth Depot.

7 From point C to D the whole

of

of the Inam village of Byadar-
=halli is included. The Cholera
camp extends far into the lands
of Byadarhalli and prospective
Military requirements have
influenced the suggestions of
the Committee

8 From point D, along the
Western boundary of Cantonment
Survey No. 669, till the Nundi
=droog road is met, when the
latter is followed until the
new wall of the Palace diverges
just North of the Coffee drying
House belonging to Messrs"
Binny and Co". The Palace
wall is then followed to the
Railway level crossing close
to the Palace entrance at
point E.

9 From point E the opinions

of

of the Committee diverge, one Member considering that the Bathcart road, as shown by the dotted red line, should be the Cantonment limit, while the two other Members consider that the line of Railway as far as the Sidledar practice ground should be adopted and then the boundary of the village of Mallenhalli, excluding the practice ground in question, as far as point E" after which there is no difference of opinion. The Members advocating the more extended line do so on the plea of including the Ball Practice ground and some small portions of Military ground; also the Race course

and

and a large number of houses occupied by Europeans, while the third Member would suggest that the Government House property in question should be excluded from the Cantonment on grounds connected with the proximity of the Palace.

10 The Cubbon road, so as to exclude Government House, the Public Offices and the Cubbon Park; and include within Cantonment limits the Post office and the small Parade ground in front of the Quarter Guard of the Native Infantry Regiment, is next suggested as a suitable

boundary as far as point C.

11 From point C the road dividing Cubbon Park from the General Parade Ground

to

to point H.

12 From point H along Sidney road to point I, and thence South, over the causeway of the Sampgi tank, to J; thence to point K at the Police Thana from which the Lalbag road should be followed to point L. Next the whole of the village of Hakkitimmanhalli, excepting Survey Numbers 8, 9 and 10 which are on the North side of Lalbag road, should be included within Cantonment limits up to point M. (Sheet No. 2).

13 From point M the line should be fixed as far as point N, the effect being to exclude from Cantonment limits the whole of the Government village of Hadgodi

and

and the Inam village of Kor-
=mangal, also the Government
villages of Ijipur and Shimi-
=nagal, and include the
Inam villages of Nilsandra
and Sonnenhalli. The reason
for this suggestion is that
the excluded villages are
unnecessary to the Canton-
=ment in any way, not even
from a sanitary point of view,
while their importance
from a revenue point of view
is obvious.

14 From point N the boundary
should include the Artillery
Practice ground up to point
, the village of Chelgatta
being entirely excluded as
unnecessary

unnecessary to the Cantonment in any way

15 From point O the boundary should run precisely as laid down at the Conference of the 21st January 1870 the effect being to include the Government villages of Damlúr and Dukan-halli which, from their pro-ximity to the Barracks, and their closely packed tenements, should on sanitary grounds, form part of the Cantonment. The Inam village of Bimmangal should be included for simi-lar reasons and to allow a little more room near the closely crowded quarters of the Cantonment.

16 The above proposals

bring

being the line to point P where the limits of the old Cantonment are met just west of the Sappers' Parade Ground.

17 From point P a line to include isolated Survey Numbers 676 and 677 of the old Cantonment and the whole of the Inam village of Dodkunti leads through point R back to the starting point A

18 The considerations which have mainly influenced the above suggestions are comprised of course in present and prospective Military requirements but also in a large measure in the necessity of keeping within Cantonment limits all villages the sanitation of which is of vital.

importance

importance to the health of the troops and other residents.

19 The Fort is in an isolated position; but it is of course intended that it should form part of the Cantonment.

L Ricketts
Depy Commr
Bangalore District

J. P. Grant Major
Supt. Rev. Survey

D. J. Woodcock Capt.
A. Q. M. Genl. M. D.

Dated Bangalore
11th February 1881

Tra[illegible]e Form C.

INDIAN TELEGRAPH. Local No. 116

(Station) Bangalore From (Station) Sunkal

| Words. | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 67/4 | 3 | 14 | 48 | |

To (Person) Resident — From (Person) Foreen

Number 2511 J
please prefire and
send as soon
as possible a
sketch map scale
about two inches
to the mile
showing on different
colours or clearly
on some other
map the boundaries
of the Bangalore assigned tract
second the Civil
and Military Station
third the Municipal limits
of the Civil
and Military Stations

Recd. from L. N. | Sent to L. N.
At Hrs. Mts., By | At Hrs. Mts., By

BANGALORE 3-7-84 Telegraph Master

Dated

(P. L.)—Govt. Tel. Press.—No. 531.—19-11-79.—1000000.

Tra[illegible] Form C.

Class: | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Local No. 116 15

To (Station) | From (Station)

| Words. | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

To (Person) | From (Person)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 |
| 34 | 35 | 36 |
| 37 | 38 | 39 |
| 40 | 41 | 42 |
| 43 | 44 | 45 |
| 46 | 47 | 48 |
| 49 | 50 | 51 |
| 52 | 53 | 54 |

Recd. from L. N. | Sent to L. N.

At Hrs. Mts., By | At Hrs. Mts., By

Date BANGALORE 3-7-84 Telegraph Master.

(P. I. L.)—Govt. Tel. Press,—No. 531,—19-11-79,—10000000.

B107 16

Dear Wife

We can't answer this simply by saying that all four boundary lines are on a flat plane and that the map we sent them. Exactly so? When did we last send them map?

Understand this letter about wiring Mrs. Divorce.

JM

No. 17 of 7th July 1882

17

May it be forwarded by telegram
to India Foreign?

HW

---

Telegram
Your ~~Despatch~~ number 2511
of dated 3d. instant + The
Boundaries of the Bangalore
Assigned Tract + the Civil
& Military Station + the
Municipality of the Civil
& Military Station + and
the Cantonment of Bangalore
including the Fort and
all one and the same +
a map ~~to be forwarded~~
with a printed description
of the boundaries was sent

Rec'd
Bpm on 10 : May 1881 by letter
with deed of Assignment

119

Memo:

The boundaries of the occupied tract and C & M. Station are one and the same. The "Continuance of Boundaries" is simply another name for "the C & M. Station." The Municipality embraces the whole of the occupied tract. A map of the latter, with a printed description of the boundaries was forwarded to the Foreign Office on the 10th inst. by the mail with the deed of Surrender.

H.H.S. 5/7/84.

File in relation to Municipal Divisions. Submitted H.H.

July 7/84
Bangalore

My dear Grant,

I have replied by telegram to y. telegram number 2511 of 3d Inst. I do not know why you are asking the question but on the chance of its being true I will give a few notes of explanation. The Civil & Military Station and the assigned Tract are two names for one and the same thing. The whole is included in one or other of the Municipal Divisions. The boundary of the Municipality as a whole are therefore identical with the boundaries of the assigned Tract and of the C. & M. Station. There is properly speaking no Cantonment

No Cantonment act is in force. The fort though a detached block is included in one of the Municipal divisions the Regimental Lines and Barracks and the Regimental + General Parade grounds are scattered about within the C & ell. Station.

The conservancy of certain blocks of barracks, is managed and paid for by the Military authorities, and they keep in repair one or two roads in similar localities They also clean out + repair one of the tanks (the Khoun tank). Certain plots land or map numbers are recorded as Military ground, that is as land set apart for Military purposes. How they came to be so set apart

x

originally is not clear
Apparently orders were
passed from time to time by
the Civil and Military
authorities acting in
agreement. These Military
~~terri~~ lands include
the fort, the Parade ground
lands under Military
buildings and some
other lands not at present
used for Military purposes
The conservancy of some
Military ground (e.g. of
the Fort) is in the hands
of the Municipality. The
Civil or the Municipal
authorities cannot of
course make use of
or dispose ~~the~~ Military
land. If there is any
income from Military land
of the nature of rent for
grass or for cultivation
it is taken by the Military
authorities unless they
have made over the
management to the
Municipality, as has
been done in some ...

There is no Cantonment Magistrate all civil suits and Criminal Complaints are heard by the Magistrates & Judge of the C.K.G.F. Station There are Courts of Requests in which awards as to debt claims are given by a Court of Military Officers, but if the debtor does not agree to pay a suit has to be filed in the Civil Court

I may add that the Residency is outside the assigned Tract through the Residents' Office is in it.

The New Butts or Military Rifle Range is also outside in land assigned for the purpose since Resumption by the Durbar

There are a number of houses owned or rented by Europeans outside the assigned Tract in some of these Military Officers live

The Central public Offices of the Mysore Govt are at Bangalore and are located in the public offices built for the Central Offices of the British administration of Mysore. These offices are just outside the C.K.G.F. Station. The chief Courts of Mysore also sits in them. The Maharaja's Palace is just outside the C.K.G.F. Station

I am,
Your obedient Servt
(Signed) J B Elwell
Resident in Mysore

Signature

Residents D.O. to Foreign Leg.

Note -

I beg to submit that there are two kinds of Courts of Requests, one is held under the provisions of Army Act, and the other under Act XI of 1841. As there is a Small Cause Court at Bangalore the former is not convened but the latter is held once a month for investigation of actions of debt and other personal actions against Native officers, Soldiers and others amenable to the Indian Articles of War. Decrees of the Native Courts of Requests are published in the Station Orders, and their publication is sufficient authority for Commanding Officers of Corps and heads of Departments to call upon the defendants to pay the amount, and if not paid forthwith, and if the award are execution issued, the Creditors apply to the Officer Commanding the Station who then calls upon the defendants to pay the amount of the decrees and if they do not pay

(10)

if the Officer Commanding the Station issues a written warrant to his Staff Officer for the seizure and sale of the defendants goods in satisfaction of the decree.-

J.D.

1/1.

Jabs. to Residents. as additional information furnished by his Assistant Co. of Regulations.

A.C.

1882

&

C Grant Esq. CSI
Secy Govt Punjab
Simla

Dated 7 July

26

No 3431
163.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.
Bangalore 19th September 1883.

From,

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

The Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

Sir

I have the honor to return the accompanying Maps shewing some alterations in the boundary of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, received with your letter of the 17th July 1883.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

T Seeegaueeb
Under Secretary.

J3102

1883

D189

From

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19: September 1883

Received 19: /

No. 3431/163

Returns the map shewing some alterations in the boundary of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

Mass Gen Corr No 25 of 9
27 June 84

Reply that at present
army & transport grass
lands are looking their
worst, but that after
that at other times the
numerous foot paths
more or less worn bare
of grass across the
parade ground & corners of it are a
great disfigurement
I understand that many
of these paths are of
old standing, but
that ~~from time to time~~ on occasion
~~when the~~ General
Commanding have for
a time stopped them
up, and that therefore
no right of way has
~~[illegible]~~ apparently been
established

2 Re grooves inside
ground and if
the Gen commdg
would consider how far
considerations of the
public convenience
[illegible]

by other considerations but if a certain amount of disfigurement was in question ~~[illegible]~~ my own choice would be against any wide ~~[illegible]~~ interference for mere appearance sake. ~~The~~ However by cultivation (as has been proved) the growth of grass on the waste ground can be greatly increased & the increase of the supply of the grass station and the improvement of the whole aspect of the ground. Then I think interference to the extent necessary would be a very good measure

3 a corner

~~Part~~ The ground is broken into a workers cricket ground and

harbour Gymkhana
ground there plots
would Sandhurst
remain as usual
I should be sorry to
see the whole of the
remainder kept
closed after the public
about times as there
is room could sit
on the grass and no
children play about
on it - I should also
be sorry to see those
new buildings closed which
may be held to be really wanted as
they have many roof
balconies & consideration
I do not know whether
other Members I should
~~do not~~ all unanimously
better cutting across
small corners as many
inconsiderate detours
might be closed - be
sure as paths, and
Sandhurst with regard

The Law path
not so closed
[illegible]
also part of the right of
[illegible]
ground when at any time
practically giving the
should be closed off
to public use
4 I want to put stress
in the management of
this ground some such
consideration for the
convenience of the public
should be shown as is
generally allowed on
the case of subway
parks in England
elsewhere. Never
[illegible]
without - absolutely close
at all times it is dr.
the ground & people are
prevented from making
[illegible]
use of carriages, and
when at certain hours
the entrance to the
[illegible]
[illegible]
but up to dusk
the use of the ground
[illegible]

5.

I understand that in
reply to a communication
made to him the
Mun. Board has agreed
to undertake the
management of the
Parade Ground for
the Mil authorities
I should be glad
if you could
find out for me
if this arrangement seems
to you a good one as
the Board has large
establishment which could
help to carry out
the scheme. Moreover
as the Board is
in large part representative
it is best to have
all reasonable considerations
in the matter. But
I suppose I could
if necessary
to clear up
the procedure in

the Land Owners
Building Land and
Trust Association
~~to be 2 years~~
~~to be~~ the management
may be taken back by
the Mil. authorities
if they consider such a step necessary
in ~~the~~ military ~~reasons~~ reasons.
6. I gather from the
letter under reply
& from the Proofs of
Mem Board which
have seen that the
President of Mem
is right in a letter
from the G.C
as to the Mem
Trust Mem Bye laws
and application to Mem
Land & that as
this Land is Mil
land & ~~the~~ Mem Bye laws declare
~~to be~~
~~a~~ ~~restriction~~ a
real nuisance &

view. It appears to me to be wrong and it would seem that the Privy Council might be asked to reconsider it. I no doubt so long as the land is managed by the Municipality the most prosecute but I consider that all land matters should be under the control of the Municipality making a Municipal By-laws application in a court. under this By-laws is restricted by its working in managed by the Municipality owned by

15/7/[illegible]